## ORIGINAL



1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commiss 2,5 F 2 DOCKETED **COMMISSIONERS** 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman DEC 1 4 2005 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 MARC SPITZER DOCKETED BY MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-02811B-04-0313 OWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION D/B/A QWEST LONG DISTANCE FOR EXTENSION OF ITS 8 **EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF** CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO INCLUDE AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE RESOLD AND FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL 10 EXCHANGE AND RESOLD LONG DISTANCE SERVICES IN ADDITION TO ITS 11 CURRENT AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE FACILITIES-BASED LONG DISTANCE 12 SERVICES, AND PETITION FOR

BY THE COMMISSION:

OF ARIZONA.

COMPETITIVE CLASSIFICATION OF

PROPOSED SERVICES WITHIN THE STATE

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 23, 2004, Qwest Communications Corporation d/b/a Qwest Long Distance<sup>1</sup> ("QCC") filed an Application and Petition with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting that its existing Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") be extended to include the authority to provide resold long distance service, resold local exchange service and facilities-based local exchange service in addition to the facilities-based long distance authority previously granted.<sup>2</sup> QCC operates as a Section 272 affiliate of Qwest Corporation, which is a regional bell operating company ("RBOC") and an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC").

**PROCEDURAL** 

On May 16, 2005, QCC filed a Second Supplement to Application and Petition, which requested that QCC's existing CC&N for competitive facilities-based long distance service be amended to include competitive resold long distance service on a statewide basis; and competitive

27

28

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The application states that the applicant does business under the d/b/a Qwest Long Distance for its interexchange business.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Decision No. 66612 (December 10, 2003).

1 2

resold and facilities-based local exchange service on a statewide basis only for large business customers or accounts ("Enterprise Market" customers).

No intervention requests were filed. The hearing on this matter commenced May 17, 2005, was continued, reconvened on August 29, 2005, and concluded on that date. QCC and Staff filed closing briefs on September 30, 2005.

A full review of the factual record and legal issues in this proceeding has been undertaken, and a Recommended Opinion and Order is being prepared for submission to the Commission for its final disposition.

Qwest Corporation did not intervene in this matter. After a full review of the factual record, it appears that a just and equitable adjudication of QCC's application requires the joinder of Qwest Corporation as a party, in order to have its interests represented.

The Commission's procedural rules do not directly address the joinder of persons needed for just adjudication. However, A.A.C. R14-3-101.A provides that in cases in which procedure is not set forth by law or by rules or regulations of the Commission, the Rules of Civil Procedure for the Superior Court of Arizona shall govern. The Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure provide as follows:

A person who is subject to service of process and whose joinder will not deprive the court of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action shall be joined as a party in the action if (1) in the person's absence complete relief cannot be accorded among those already parties, or (2) the person claims an interest relating to the subject of the action and is so situated that the disposition of the action in his absence may (i) as a practical matter impair or impede the person's ability to protect that interest (ii) leave any of the persons already parties subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations by reason of the claimed interest. If the person has not been so joined, the court shall order that the person be made a party. If the person should join as a plaintiff but refuses to do so, the person may be made a defendant, or, in a proper case, an involuntary plaintiff. If the joined party objects to venue and joinder of that party would render the venue of the action improper, that party shall be dismissed from the action.

Rule 19(a), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 21 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure further provides that parties may be dropped or added by order of the court on motion of any party or of its own initiative at any stage of the action and on such terms as are just.

During the proceeding on QCC's application, QCC made a point of the fact that Qwest

1 Corp
2 Corp
3 Corp
4 to pr
5 using
6 requi
7
8 Arizo
9 indis

Corporation is not a party to this matter.<sup>3</sup> We are concerned with the fact that while Qwest Corporation was not formally represented in these proceedings, evidence was presented that Qwest Corporation may be adversely impacted by revenue losses, if QCC is granted the authority it requests to provide services in direct competition with Qwest Corporation for Enterprise Market customers, using the Qwest name. We find that Qwest Corporation clearly has an interest in this matter that requires its participation in this proceeding.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code R14-3-101.A; Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 19(a) and Rule 21, Qwest Corporation is hereby joined as an indispensable party to this proceeding for the reasons set forth herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest Corporation shall make a filing in this docket, no later than December 23, 2005, stating whether it prefers to submit its position through the filing of briefs based on the existing evidentiary record, or whether the record should be reopened to obtain additional factual information regarding the effect on Qwest Corporation of granting Qwest Communications Corporation's application. Qwest Corporation shall also submit with its filing its brief or a proposed schedule for briefing, or a proposed schedule for filing of testimony and hearing dates.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Utilities Division Staff and Qwest Communications Corporation shall file a response to Qwest Corporation's filing within 7 days of Qwest Corporation's filing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Qwest Corporation is likely to have constructive notice of QCC's application, since QCC was represented throughout this proceeding by Qwest Corporation's corporate counsel, through the Qwest Law Department, and QCC's witness stated that she spends a predominant amount of her employment time working for Qwest Corporation.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unautho
2	Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding.
3	DATED this day of December, 2005.
4	
5	
6	TEENA WOLFE
7	ADM <del>I</del> NISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
8	The foregoing was mailed/delivered this day of December, 2005 to:
9	Timothy Berg
10	Theresa Dwyer FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
11	3003 N. Central Avenue, Ste 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
12	Attorneys for Qwest Communications Corporation and Qwest Corporation
13	
14	Norman G. Curtright Corporate Counsel
15	QWEST CORPORATION 4041 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 1100
16	Phoenix, AZ 85012
17	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Maureen Scott, Attorney
18	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
19	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
20	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
21	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
22	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
23	In MATA
24	By: VV VV V Molly Johnson
25	Secretary to Teena Wolfe
26	
27	

28